

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)) MDL No. 1917
ANTITRUST LITIGATION)
) Case No. C-07-5944-SC

10 This Order Relates To:) ORDER REGARDING SUBMISSIONS
11) IN SUPPORT OF DISPOSITIVE
12) MOTIONS
13)
14)
15)
16)

17
18 In the coming weeks, the parties will complete briefing on
19 dispositive motions, motions in limine, and other non-dispositive
20 motions. The Court issues this order to simplify and streamline
21 the parties' submissions and the Court's consideration of those
22 submissions.

23 First, pursuant to the undersigned's Civil Standing Order and
24 Civil Local Rule 5-1(e)(7), the parties are required to file paper
25 copies of documents that are electronically filed, and are required
26 to submit exhibits of greater than two inches in tabbed three ring
27 binders. To ease administrative burdens and the limits on space,
28 the Court instead ORDERS that the following rules shall govern

1 courtesy copies for the remaining dispositive motion filings,
2 Daubert motions, and other motions in limine.

3 Paper courtesy copies no longer need to be submitted except for
4 the following items:

5 • Briefs (both unredacted and redacted copies),
6 • full or excerpted expert reports (in three ring binders if
7 necessary), and
8 • administrative motions for leave to file under seal and
9 supporting declarations.

10 The parties may submit any additional materials (including the
11 exhibits parties seek to have filed under seal and all other
12 exhibits or declarations) in electronic format whether on a CD or
13 flash drive.

14 Second, in conjunction with the parties' submission of replies
15 in support of dispositive motions on January 26, the Court ORDERS
16 the parties to confer and file a single spreadsheet or other
17 document showing, in tabular format, the dispositive motions that
18 are still active and against what parties they remain active. This
19 document will help the Court determine which motions remain active
20 in light of the numerous recent settlements and other dismissals.
21 The parties need not follow this format precisely, but to provide
22 an example of the information the Court would like the parties to
23 provide, here is a suggestion:

24 ///
25 ///
26 ///
27 ///
28 ///

ECF No.	Movant	Motion	Adverse Parties	Opp'n ECF No.	Reply ECF No.
2976	Hitachi Parties (joinder by Toshiba, ECF No. 3010)	Motion for Summary Judgment Based on Lack of Evidence of Participation in Alleged Conspiracy	IPPs, Electrograph, Circuit City, Best Buy, Target, Sears, Interbond, Office Depot, CompuCom, Costco, P.C. Richard & Son, Schultze, and Tech Data	3274	X

9 If, because of a settlement, a motion is no longer active against
10 one of the initially adverse parties, the parties shall indicate
11 that using ~~strikethrough~~, footnote, or some other means. If an
12 entire motion is no longer necessary the parties shall indicate
13 that using similar means. This document should be submitted both
14 on ECF and in electronic format to the Court's proposed order email
15 inbox, SCpo@cand.uscourts.gov.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 16, 2015

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE